

Annex 1 to

**Corrected Version of Prosecution response to Thaçi Appeal against Decision on
Motions Challenging the Jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers**

Public

List of Authorities

1.	Prosecutor's Statement Regarding the Tribunal's Jurisdiction in Kosovo , 10 March 1998, The Hague, CC/PIO/302-E (<i>available at</i> icty.org/en/press/prosecutors-statement-regarding-tribunals-jurisdiction-over-kosovo)
2.	Statement by Justice Louise Arbour, Prosecutor of the ICTY , Press Release, 4 November 1998, The Hague, CC/PIU/358-E (<i>available at</i> icty.org/en/press/statement-justice-louise-arbour-prosecutor-icty-0)
3.	Statement by the Prosecutor , Press Release, 31 March 1999, The Hague, CC/PIU/391-E (<i>available at</i> icty.org/en/press/statement-prosecutor-0)
4.	Prosecutor's Communication to the Contact Group Members , Press Release, 7 July 1998, The Hague, CC/PIO/329-E (<i>available at</i> icty.org/en/press/communication-prosecutor-contact-group-members)
5.	UN SC Resolution 1160 (31 March 1998) (<i>available at</i> https://undocs.org/S/RES/1160(1998))
6.	UN SC Resolution 1199 (23 September 1998) (<i>available at</i> https://undocs.org/S/RES/1199(1998))
7.	UN SC Resolution 1203 (4 October 1998) (<i>available at</i> https://undocs.org/S/RES/1203(1998))
8.	Report of the International Law Commission , sixty-eighth session (2 May-10 June and 4 July-12 August 2016), A/71/10 (<i>available at</i> https://undocs.org/en/A/71/10)
9.	Constitutional Court of the Republic of Kosovo , Assessment of an Amendment to the Constitution of the Republic of Kosovo proposed by the Government of the Republic of Kosovo and referred by the President of the Assembly of the Republic of Kosovo on 9 March 2015 by Letter No. 05-433/DO-318, Judgment in Case No. KO26/15, 15 April 2015 (<i>available at</i> https://gjk-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/vendimet/KO26-15_ANG.pdf)
10.	Constitution of the Republic of Kosovo , 15 June 2008 (<i>available at</i> https://gjk-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/gjkk_kushtetuta_e_republikes_se_kosoves_me_amendamentet_I-XXV_ang.pdf)
11.	ECCC, Trial Chamber, <i>Co-Prosecutors v. Kaing Guek Eav alias Duch</i> , 001/18-07-2007/ECCC/TC, Judgement , 26 July 2010 (<i>available at</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/dbdb62/pdf)
12.	ECCC, Supreme Court Chamber, <i>Co-Prosecutors v. Kaing Guek Eav alias 'DUCH'</i> , 001/18-07-2007-ECCC/SC, Appeal Judgement , 3 February 2012 (<i>available at</i> https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/681bad/pdf)
13.	ICTY, Prosecutor v. Anto Furundzija , Appeal Judgment, IT-95-17/1-A, 21 July 2001,
14.	ICTR, Prosecutor v. Clément Kayishema and Obed Ruzindana , Judgment (Reasons), ICTR-95-1-A, 4 December 2001, https://www.unict.org/sites/unict.org/files/case-documents/ictr-95-1/appeals-chamber-judgements/en/010601.pdf
15.	ICTY, Prosecutor v. Miroslav Kvočka et al. , Appeal Judgment, IT-98-30/1-A, 28 February 2005, https://www.icty.org/x/cases/kvočka/acjug/en/kvo-aj050228e.pdf

16.	ICTY, The Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadzic , Decision on Appeal from Decision on Duration of Defence Case, IT-95-5/18-AR73.10, 29 January 2013, https://www.icty.org/x/cases/karadzic/acdec/en/130129.pdf
17.	ICTR, Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Ntakirutimana and Ntakirutimana</i> , ICTR-96-10-A and ICTR-96-17-A, Judgment , 13 December 2004 (available at https://unictr.irmct.org/sites/unictr.org/files/case-documents/ict-96-17/appeals-chamber-judgements/en/041213.pdf)
18.	ICTR, Trial Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Karemera et al.</i> , ICTR-98-44-T, Decision on the Preliminary Motions by the Defence of Nzirorera, Karemera, Rwamakuba and Ngirumpatse Challenging Jurisdiction in Relation to Joint Criminal Enterprise , 11 May 2004 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/56eebe/pdf)
19.	ICTR, Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Rwamakuba</i> , ICTR-98-44-AR72.4 ' Decision on Interlocutory Appeal regarding Application of Joint Criminal Enterprise to the Crime of Genocide ', 22 October 2004 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ab8aa8/pdf)
20.	SCSL, Trial Chamber II, <i>Prosecutor v. Brima et al.</i> , SCSL-04-16-T ' Decision on Defence Motions for Judgment of Acquittal pursuant to Rule 98 ', 31 March 2006 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/ca0979/pdf)
21.	SCSL, Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Brima et al.</i> , SCSL-2004-16-A, Judgment , 22 February 2008 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/4420ef/pdf)
22.	SCSL, Appeals Chamber, <i>Prosecutor v. Taylor</i> , SCSL-03-01-A, Judgment , 26 September 2013 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/3e7be5/pdf)
23.	ECtHR, <i>S.W. v. the United Kingdom</i> , Judgment , Application No. 20166/92, 22 November 1995 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/e791cb/pdf)
24.	ECtHR, <i>Cantoni v. France</i> , Judgment , Application No. 17862/91, 11 November 1996 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/3995ce/pdf)
25.	ECtHR, <i>Streletz, Kessler and Krenz v. Germany</i> , Judgment , Application No. 37201/97, 22 March 2001 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/7058a0/pdf)
26.	ECtHR, <i>C.R. v United Kingdom</i> , Judgment , Application No. 20190/92, 22 November 1995 (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/53c780/pdf)
27.	Holzer et al., Canadian Military Court , 25 March-6 April 1946, in <i>Record of Proceedings at Aurich, Germany</i> (Vol. I) (available at https://www.legal-tools.org/doc/5a9eac/pdf)
28.	A. Scalia and B. A. Garner, Reading Law: The Interpretation of Legal Texts (2012) (available at https://jm919846758.files.wordpress.com/2020/09/rlilt.pdf)